## ANNEX C - Corporate Risk Register – 18 February SMB Review

## **Corporate Risks**

| Risk Description   | Resp. | Consequences if Untreated Risk Occurs   | Risk Score<br>(old) / New |   | Risk<br>Level | Current Treatment |   | Comments / Further Treatment Proposed |  |  |
|--|-------|---|---------------------------|---|---------------|-------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
|  |       |   |                           | ı | Σ             | H/M/L             |   |                                       |  |  |
| CRR 007 Lapse or<br>breach of<br>Information<br>Security | GB    | 1. Fine of up to £500,000 per breach from the Information Commissioner's Office (ICO)  2. Reputational damage  3. Reduced opportunities for joint working | 3                         | 3 | 9             | М                 | Various, see sample below:  Specialist information roles  Information Governance Strategy Training package for information security/data protection Retention & Disposal Schedules External archive for physical assets  ICT Strategy EDMS for Policies and procedures. | A                                     | There are a wide range of information security issues and treatments to reduce the likelihood of lapses and breaches. These are being identified in a separate information risk register and risk owners identified so that treatments are managed at directorate level. |  |

## ANNEX C - Corporate Risk Register – 18 February SMB Review

| Risk  | Resp.                        | . Consequences if Untreated  |  | Risk Score   |   |                                      |   | Current Treatment   |   | Comments / Further Treatment Proposed   |
|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Description   |                              | Risk Occurs  |  | old)/  | New   | Level                                |   |   | Α |   |
|   |                              | Р  | ı  | Σ  | HML   |                                      |   | G   |   |   |
| CRR 014.4 Staff Availability Emerging risks of 1/ industrial action due to pension change or pay dispute; 2/ Staff inability to get to work due to external factors e.g. Pandemic Flu, disruption to fuel supplies etc. 3/ Retirements proceeding more quickly than | JT /<br>DO'D<br>/ MO<br>/ LS | Potential detrimental effects on service delivery to the community and our reputation.  Failure to discharge statutory duties.  Loss of critical knowledge / skills / capacity.  | (2)<br>(5)<br>(3)<br>(4)<br>(3)<br>(5)<br><b>4</b> | (5)<br>(5)<br>(5)<br>(5)<br>(5)<br>(4)<br><b>3</b> | (10)<br>(25)<br>(15)<br>(20)<br>(15)<br>(20)<br><b>12</b> | (M)<br>(H)<br>(H)<br>(H)<br>(H)<br>H | • | Full business continuity plan in place Peer review of the business continuity arrangements Bank System Flexi-Duty System Pilot Staff Transfer Procedure   | < | 12 November 13 SMB:  SMB agreed to maintain the risk score at 20 given the FBU's decision to conduct a further ballot for approval for other forms of industrial action on non-strike days which could potentially widen the disruption to the Authority's operations.  6 February 14 PMB:  PMB recommended that the risk score be reduced to 12 given effectiveness of contingency arrangements during strike periods which have resulted in no material disruption to the emergency response service received by the public albeit that further prolonged periods of strike action could impact on the speed with which other public safety and corporate objectives can be achieved.  18 February 14 SMB  SMB approved the changes to the risk rating recommended by PMB and also agreed a change to the RAG status from red to amber to reflect the |
| anticipated.  CRR 017 Fit for purpose restructure / organisational change programme   | LS                           | Change programme impacting on our ability to maintain day to day service. The possibility of employee relations issues arising from the organisation restructure, and the potential performance management difficulties. Other consequences potentially include legal challenge, loss of experience/resilience/ corporate knowledge, | (5)<br>(2)<br>2                                    | (5)<br>(5)<br>3                                    | (25)<br>(10)<br>6   | (H)<br>M<br>L                        | • | SMT owned & reviewed change programme in place Communication & consultation plans in place supported by management training Phased delivery of change prog. Pre- and post-change EIA Leadership and first-line management training Processes and procedures well documented HR, Finance, ICT resources External communications / PR Scenario planning | G | effectiveness of the risk controls.  Each element of the organisational change programme includes a people impact risk assessment and learns from initial programmes are built into current procedures.  Some aspects of the change programme will have significantly lower risk scores and levels.  18 February 14 SMB  SMB agreed a reduction to the impact score associated with this risk from 5 to 3, and RAG status to green, to reflect improvements to the controls associated with this risk arising from the experience of implementing significant organisational changes / re-structures.   |

## ANNEX C - Corporate Risk Register – 18 February SMB Review

| reputation etc. |  |  | BCP & negotiation strategy in place. |
|-----------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|

| Risk Description | Resp. | Consequences if Untreated Risk Occurs |   |       | Risk Score<br>(old) / New |            |     |   | Current Treatment  |   | Comments / Further Treatment Proposed  |
|------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|---|-------|---------------------------|------------|-----|---|--|---|--|
|                  |       |                                       |   | Р     | - 1                       | Σ          | HML |   |  | G |  |
| Fin 14 – Funding | DS/JM | •                                     | If funding settlements for 2015/16+ follow the two-year trend and not the four-year trend, we would need to find an additional £2million worth of savings over and above the current MTFP between 2015/16-2017/18 | (4) 3 | (4)                       | (16)<br>12 | М   | • | A funding pressures reserve (approx. £2million by 31.03.2013) has been created, but this would be quickly exhausted without a dramatic altering of service provision and/or an increase in Council Tax to offset the reduction in Government funding | A | <ul> <li>30 July 13 SMT: Reduce RAG rating to amber following announcement of government funding settlement.</li> <li>6 February 14 PMB: Reduction in risk score to 12 recommended in light of:         <ul> <li>robust MTFP process and CFA in a position to approve a balanced budget at the 19 February meeting;</li> <li>Initiation of reviews and planning activities to address savings requirements in future years.</li> </ul> </li> <li>18 February 14 SMB: SMB approved the reduction to the probability score associated with this risk from 4 to 3 as recommended by PMB.</li> </ul> |